U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Mail Stop: OWFN, P1-35

Washington, D. C. 20555-0001

Dear Sir:

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY - BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT (BFN) - UNIT 3 - DOCKET 50-296 - FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE DPR - 68 - LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) 50-296/2007-005-01

10 CFR 50.73

The enclosed report provides details of an automatic reactor scram due to a generator load reject. TVA is submitting this revised report in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A), as an event that resulted in an automatic actuation of the systems listed in paragraph (a)(2)(iv)(B) (i.e., Reactor Protection System including: reactor scram or reactor trip). TVA is revising this LER to correct an administrative error. The technical discussion presented in the report has not changed. The administrative error has been documented in the corrective action program. There are no commitments contained in this letter.

Sincerely, Original signed by:

R. G. West Site Vice President

cc: See page 2

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 2 March 17, 2008

# **Enclosure**

cc (Enclosure):

Ms. Eva Brown, Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (MS 08G9) One White Flint, North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852-2739

Rebecca L. Nease, Branch Chief U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Suite 23T85 Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8931

NRC Resident Inspector Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant 10833 Shaw Road Athens, Alabama 35611-6970 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 3 March 17, 2008

DTL:JEE:SWA:BAB

Enclosure

cc (Enclosure):

G. P. Arent, EQB 1B-WBN

W. R. Campbell, LP 3R-C

S. M. Douglas, POB 2C-BFN

D. C. Matherly, Jr., BFT 2A-BFN

R. F. Marks, Jr., PAB 1C-BFN

L. E. Nicholson, BR 4X-C

R. G. West, NAB 2A-BFN

B. A. Wetzel, BR 4X-C

S. A. Vance, WT 6A-K

E. J. Vigluicci, ET 11A-K

INPO:LEREvents@inpo.org

**EDMS WT CA - K** 

S:LIC/SUBMIT/LERS/296-2007-005-01 revised.doc

NRC FORM 366 (9-2007)  LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)							APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 08/31/2010 Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records and FOIA/Privacy Service Branch (T-5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to infocollecta@nc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.							
								2. DOCKET NUMBER 3. PAGE 05000296						
4. TITI Aut		Reactor	Scram	Due To	Main G	enerato	r Load	Reiect						
	VENT I			LER NUM			EPORT (			8. OT	HER FACILI	TIES INVOL	VED	
монтн	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENT NUMBE		монтн	DAY	YEAR	None	9				/A
12	31	2007	2007	- 005	- 01	03	17	2008	None				DOG N	I/A
9. OPERATING MODE  1 10. POWER LEVEL			□ 20.2201(d)       □ 20.2203(a)(3)(ii)       □ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)       □ 50.         □ 20.2203(a)(1)       □ 20.2203(a)(4)       □ 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)       □ 50.         □ 20.2203(a)(2)(i)       □ 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A)       □ 50.73(a)(2)(iii)       □ 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A)         □ 20.2203(a)(2)(ii)       □ 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A)       □ 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)       □ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)         □ 20.2203(a)(2)(iii)       □ 50.36(c)(2)       □ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)       □ 73.30(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(					.73(a)(2)(vii) .73(a)(2)(viii)(A) .73(a)(2)(viii)(B) .73(a)(2)(ix)(A) .73(a)(2)(x) .71(a)(4) .71(a)(5)						
	100		□ 20.2203(a)(2)(v)       □ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)       □ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)         □ 20.2203(a)(2)(vi)       □ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)       □ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)						Spe	OTHER Specify in Abstract balow or in NRC Form 366A				
					12	2. LICENS	SEE CON	TACT F	OR THIS	LER				
NAME Steve	Austir	n, Licens	ing Eng	ineer							TELEPH	10NE NUMBER 256-72	(Include Area 29-2070	Code)
			13. COM	PLETE O	IE LINE F	OR EACH	H COMP	DNENT	FAILURE	DESCRIBED	IN THIS RE	PORT		
CAU	SE	SYSTEM	COM	PONENT	MANU- FACTURES		ORTABLE DEPIX	c	AUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONEN	T MANU		PORTABLE TO EPIX
	SUBMISSION							MONTH	DAY	YEAR				
YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)  ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten line.							D.	ATE	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	On D scran exper press react water conde	ecember n signal i cted. All sure trans or pressur level wa	or 31, 20 following system sient, was contract maintenance was maintenance was maintenance	07, at 21 g a main is respon hich pea subsequolled by	40 hour general ded to the ked at 1 Lently country to the Fee	s Centra tor load he scra 141 psign ontrolled dwater s	al Stand reject. m as ex g, six of d with the system,	dard Ti The re opected the main the no	eactor s d. All co ain steam ormal he	eT), Unit 3 recram from to control rods is am system by eat removal as reset De	the genera inserted. I relief valve pass valve I path throi	ator load re During the es opened es. The re ugh the ma	eject wa initial . The actor ain	
	autor syste	natic act	uation o	of the sys	tems lis m of trip	ted in p	aragrap	oh 10 C	FR 50.	A), as an ev 73(a)(2)(iv) olation sign	(B) (i.e., re	eactor pro	tection	l or

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)								
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	L	ER NUMBER (6	PAGE (3)				
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER				
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 3	05000296	2007	005	01	2 of 5			

NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

# I. PLANT CONDITION(S)

Prior to the event, Unit 3 was operating in Mode 1 at 100 percent RTP (3458 megawatts thermal). Units 1 and 2 were also at 100 percent power (3458 Megawatts thermal) and unaffected by the event.

#### II. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

## A. Event:

On December 31, 2007, at 2140 hours Central Standard Time (CST), Unit 3 reactor received an automatic scram signal following a main generator [TB] load reject. The reactor scram from the generator load reject was expected.

All systems responded to the scram as expected. All control rods [AA] inserted. Because the reactor level lowered to level 3 (low level) primary containment isolation system (PCIS) [JM] isolations Group 2 (residual heat removal (RHR) [BO] system), Group 3, (reactor water cleanup (RWCU) [CE] system), Group 6 (ventilation), and Group 8 (traversing incore probe (TIP) [IG] system) signals were received. The low water level also initiated the standby gas treatment (SGT) [BN] system and the control room emergency ventilation (CREV) [VI] system. The reactor water level remained above level 2 (low-low Level); accordingly, no emergency core cooling systems were actuated.

The reactor scram and PCIS actuations were reset December 31, 2007, by 2146 hours CST. SGT and CREV systems were secured by 2153 hours CST.

During the initial pressure transient, which peaked at 1141 psig, six (6) of the main steam system [SB] relief valves [RV] opened. The reactor pressure was subsequently controlled with the main steam system bypass valves [PCV]. The reactor water level was controlled by the feedwater [SJ] system; the normal heat removal path through the main condenser [SG] was maintained during the event.

TVA is submitting this report according to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A), as an event that resulted in a manual or automatic actuation of the systems listed in paragraph 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(B) (i.e., reactor protection system including reactor scram of trip, and general containment isolation signals affecting containment isolation valves in more than one system.)

## B. Inoperable Structures, Components, or Systems that Contributed to the Event:

None.

# C. <u>Dates and Approximate Times of Major Occurrences:</u>

December 31, 2007 2140 hours CST Unit 3 received an automatic scram.

January 1, 2008 0058 hours CST TVA completed a four hour non-emergency report

per 10 CFR 50.72(2)(iv)(B) and an eight hour nonemergency report per 10 CFR 50.72(b)(3)(iv)(A).

# D. Other Systems or Secondary Functions Affected

None.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

EICENSEE EVENT REPORT (EER)								
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	L	ER NUMBER (6	PAGE (3)				
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER				
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 3	05000296	2007	005	01	3 of 5			

NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

## E. Method of Discovery

The turbine trip and reactor scram were immediately apparent to the control room staff through numerous alarms and indications.

## F. Operator Actions

Operations personnel responded to the event according to applicable plant procedures. Operations momentarily entered Emergency Operating Instruction, 3-EOI-001, Reactor Pressure Control and Abnormal Operating Instruction, 3-AOI-100-1, Reactor Scram, as required.

# G. Safety System Responses

The reactor protection [JC] system logic responded to the generator trip per plant design by initiating the reactor scram. All control rods inserted. The PCIS Group 2 (RHR system shutdown cooling), Group 3 (RWCU system), Group 6 (ventilation), and Group 8 (TIP) isolations were received as expected due to the lowering of the reactor water level, along with the auto start of CREV system and three SGT system trains. The reactor water level was immediately restored with reactor feedwater, and no ECCS actuations were required.

#### III. CAUSE OF THE EVENT

#### A. <u>Immediate Cause</u>

The immediate cause for the reactor scram was the turbine load reject signal.

## **B. Root Cause**

The generator trip was the result of spurious operation of the Unit 3 generator breaker phase discordance Relay (20-7 relay) which resulted in a generator breaker trip signal. Although TVA could not identify the cause for the spurious actuation of the 20-7 relay, TVA postulates that either an electrical or mechanical agitation of the 20-7 relay resulted in the generation of the trip signal.

# C. Contributing Factors

None.

# IV. ANALYSIS OF THE EVENT

The reactor scram was initiated by control valve fast closure signals. This was the result of a power load unbalance (PLU) signal. The PLU signal is generated whenever normalized turbine power as indicated by moisture separator 3C1 inlet pressure is 40 percent greater than the normalized generator current within rate limitations. The PLU signal was the result of a loss of generator load when the generator output breaker (PCB-234) tripped open. PCB-234 tripped because the 20-7 relay spuriously actuated.

The cause for the generator load reject was the opening of PCB-234. This was the only breaker in the generator output path. The apparent cause of PCB-234 opening was a spurious actuation of the 20-7 relay. The appropriate alarm was actuated in the control room for the 20-7 relay during the turbine load reject and reactor scram.

(9-2007)

**LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)** 

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)								
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	L	ER NUMBER (6	PAGE (3)				
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER				
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 3	05000296	2007	005	01	4 of 5			

NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

TVA has determined that no phase discordance occurred. All three phases of the generator output breaker opened simultaneously. Post event testing of the contacts that initiate the 20-7 relay found them working properly. Other relays capable of tripping PCB-234 were inspected for abnormalities that could have resulted in the trip and none were identified.

Just prior to the scram, an Assistant Unit Operator (AUO) had been dispatched to the local panel that housed the generator breaker control equipment to clear a Generator Breaker Air Pressure Abnormal alarm. The steps taken by the individual to clear the alarm were reviewed and no actions were identified that would trip PCB-234.

## V. ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY CONSEQUENCES

The safety consequences of this event were not significant. Reactor scram from a generator load reject at 100 percent power is a transient for which BFN is analyzed. The reactor scram was not complicated. All safety systems operated as required. PCIS Groups 2, 3, 6, and 8 isolations were as required. The reactor water level dropped to below level 3, but remained above level 2, therefore ECCS did not actuate. The reactor water level was recovered immediately by the feedwater system pumps. The reactor pressure reached 1141 psig, and following relief valve operation, reactor pressure was then controlled by the main steam system bypass valves. The short term actuation by 6 relief valves following a generator load reject from 100% power was as expected.

Operator actions taken in response to the reactor scram were appropriate. These included the verification that the reactor was shutdown, the expected actuations and isolations had occurred, and the restoration of these systems to normal post scram alignment. Therefore, TVA concludes that the health and safety of the public was not affected by this event.

## **VI. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS**

# A. Immediate Corrective Actions

Operations personnel placed the reactor in a stable condition according to plant procedures.

# B. <u>Corrective Actions to Prevent Recurrence</u> (1)

The corrective actions address both postulated causes. To address postulated mechanical agitation, the 20-7 relay will be labeled as a trip sensitive component. Although post scram testing could not identify any electrical faults with the 20-7 relay to address any postulated electrical agitation, the relay was replaced.

# VII. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

# A. Falled Components

None.

#### B. Previous LERs on Similar Events

None.

#### NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (9-2007)LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) **FACILITY NAME (1)** DOCKET (2) LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3) SEQUENTIAL REVISION YEAR NUMBER NUMBER **Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 3** 2007 5 of 5 05000296 -- 005 -- 01

NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

(1) TVA does not consider the corrective actions regulatory requirements. The completion of the actions will be tracked in TVA's Corrective Action Program.

# C. Additional Information

Corrective action document for this report is PER 135878.

# D. <u>Safety System Functional Failure Consideration:</u>

This event did not involve a safety system functional failure according to NEI 99-02.

# E. <u>Scram With Complications Consideration:</u>

This event was not a complicated scram according to NEI 99-02.

## VIII. COMMITMENTS

None.